

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,913	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID M. SCHINDLER	2862	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID M. SCHINDLER.

(2) Michael Scheer.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

Clemens (US 5,945,825).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with Mr. Scheer (Reg. #34,425) certain antecedent and clarity issues with regards to claims 2 and 8, and discussed reference US 5,945,825 in view of claim 2. The Examiner noted how claim 2 appears to be rejectable in view of this reference, and Mr. Scheer noted a difference between the reference and claim 2. No agreement was reached. .